

1 TODD M. SORRELL (BAR NO. 175143)
tsorrell@fulbright.com
2 SPENCER PERSSON (BAR NO. 235054)
spersson@fulbright.com
3 FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P.
555 South Flower Street, Forty-First Floor
4 Los Angeles, CA 90071
Telephone: (213) 892-9200
5 Facsimile: (213) 892-9494

6 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant
7 TRI-STAR ELECTRONICS INTERNATIONAL, INC.

8 DARREN M. FRANKLIN, Cal. Bar No. 210939
dfranklin@sheppardmullin.com
9 SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP
333 South Hope Street, 43rd Floor
Los Angeles, California 90071-1422
10 Telephone: 213-620-1780
Facsimile: 213-620-1398

11 ROBERT E. BROWNE (*pro hac vice*)
rbrowne@ngelaw.com
12 MIKE R. TURNER (*pro hac vice*)
mturner@ngelaw.com
13 NEAL, GERBER & EISENBERG LLP
Two North LaSalle Street, Suite 1700
Chicago, Illinois 60602-3801
15 Telephone: 312-269-8000
Facsimile: 312-269-1747

16 Attorneys for Defendant and Counter-Claimant
17 PRECI-DIP SA

18 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

19 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION**

20 TRI-STAR ELECTRONICS
INTERNATIONAL, INC.,

21 Plaintiff,

22 v.

23 PRECI-DIP DURTAL SA,

24 Defendant,

25 AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.

26 Case No. CV 08-04226 GAF (AJWx)

27 **[PROPOSED] NON-FINAL
JUDGMENT OF NON-
INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT
NO. 6,250,974**

1 Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant Tri-Star Electronics International, Inc. ("Tri-
 2 Star") and Defendant and Counter-Claimant Preci-Dip SA (sued as Preci-Dip
 3 Durtal SA) ("Preci-Dip"), having stipulated that the electrical contact devices
 4 accused by Tri-Star of infringing U.S. Patent No. 6,250,974 in this matter do not
 5 infringe claims 1-3, 7, 13 and 16 of that patent as its terms have been construed by
 6 this Court, and having stipulated to a Judgment by this Court granting Preci-Dip's
 7 request for a declaration of non-infringement, and good cause appearing for
 8 granting the stipulation,

9 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED** that Preci-
 10 Dip has not infringed claims 1-3, 7, 13 and 16 of U.S. Patent No. 6,250,974 as
 11 those claims have been construed by this Court. This is not intended to be a Final
 12 Judgment in this case under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(b), and any time to
 13 appeal this judgment will not begin to run until a final judgment is entered at the
 14 conclusion of this matter. Tri-Star may object to or appeal this Judgment and the
 15 Court's Order re: Claim Construction, including an appeal of the claim
 16 constructions of the '974 Patent.

17 DATED: July 5, 2012

20 U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

21 Submitted by:

22 SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP

23
 24 By _____ /s/ Darren M. Franklin
 25 DARREN M. FRANKLIN

26 Attorneys for Defendant and Counter-Claimant
 27 PRECI-DIP SA

28 NGEDOCS: 1989707.3